Strength of Evidence + Practice

Grinnell College

November 11, 2024

Last week we introduced the idea of the null distribution

If we were to collect many samples of \overline{X} , the null distribution refers to the distribution of statistics

$$t = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{n}}$$

when $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$, i.e., the null hypothesis is true

Consider the t

Consider the pieces of a t-statistic

$$t = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{\hat{\sigma} / \sqrt{n}}$$

- 1. $\overline{x} \mu_0$ indicates the distance between my observed data and my null hypothesis, though we cannot use this alone as it does not include a degree of "certainty" associated with \overline{x}
- 2. $\hat{\sigma}$ is my estimate of the population's standard deviation. When this is large, there will be more uncertainty in my estimate of \overline{x}
- 3. *n* represents the number of observations in my sample the more observations I have, the more confidence I will have in my estimate

We should have a sense of how each of these components impact my t-statistic

Consider the t

$$t = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{\hat{\sigma} / \sqrt{n}}$$

We can think, then, of the t-statistic as being a measure of evidence *against* the null hypothesis

lf:

- 1. \overline{x} is far from μ and
- 2. Our certainty in \overline{x} is high (i.e., low $\hat{\sigma}$ or large *n*)

then our statistic t will be larger. A larger t statistic is less likely than a smaller one

What we consider "large" will depend on the t-distribution

t-distribution

When the null hypothesis is true,

$$t = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{n}}$$

follows a *t*-distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom

The degrees of freedom tells us, relatively speaking, what values are considered "large"

t = 2.1 may be considered "large" when df = 30 but not when df = 5

95% of a t-distribution with df = 5



95% of a t-distribution with df = 30



The process goes like this:

- 1. Assume our null hypothesis $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ is true
- 2. Compute a *t*-statistic with our observed data
- 3. Ask: is this *t*-statistic "large"?
 - This will depend on the degrees of freedom
 - It will also depend on what range we consider acceptable, i.e., 80%, 95%, 99%, etc.,
- (After the exam) If we know our t statistic and we know our degrees of freedom, we can find the probability of observing our data if the null is true. This probability is our p-value

Suppose $\overline{x} = 24$, $\hat{\sigma} = 5$ and n = 25

Question:

- 1. Would \overline{x} be in a 95% CI around $\mu_0 = 20$?
- 2. What about $\mu_0 = 27$?
- 3. Which null hypothesis does our sample provide more evidence *against*?

$$t=\frac{24-\mu_0}{5/\sqrt{25}}$$

We see that $\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{n} = 1$. From our sheet we find C = 2.0639 for df = 241. If $\mu_0 = 20$, a 95% CI around this value would be

 $20 \pm 2.0639 \times 1 = (17.936, 22.064)$

2. If $\mu_0 = 27$, a 95% Cl around the null would be

$$27 \pm 2.0639 \times 1 = (24.936, 29.064)$$

Q4

Neither of these intervals contain \overline{x} so our observed data provides evidence against each. To see which hypothesis our data provides *more* evidence against, we can compute t-statistics

$$t = \frac{24 - 20}{5/\sqrt{25}} = 4$$

2.

1.

$$t = \frac{24 - 27}{5/\sqrt{25}} = -3$$

Because these come from the same distribution (i.e., df = 24 in both cases), we can compare these directly and see that we have more evidence against the hypothesis that $\mu_0 = 20$

Question 5

Scenario 1

In a sample with n = 20, we find that $\hat{\sigma} = 2$ and $\overline{x} = 15.916$. Our null hypothesis is $\mu_0 = 15$ Scenario 2 In a sample with n = 40, we find that $\hat{\sigma} = 5$ and $\overline{x} = 126.62$. Our null hypothesis is $\mu_0 = 125$

- 1. Which of these two scenarios shows the greatest difference between the observed and hypothesized mean?
- 2. Which of these scenarios do you think offers more compelling evidence that the null hypothesis is false?

Scenario 1

$$t = \frac{15.916 - 15}{2/\sqrt{20}} = \frac{0.916}{0.447} = 2.048$$

Scenario 2

$$t = \frac{126.62 - 120}{5/\sqrt{40}} = \frac{1.62}{0.791} = 2.049$$

Question:

- 1. Which shows largest difference between observed and hypothesized mean?
- 2. Which provides more compelling evidence that the null false?



- Probability stuff
- CLT when does it apply and what does it say?
- Sampling distributions
- Confidence intervals and critical values
- t-distribution, t-statistics
- Null hypothesis and p-values