ANOVA Part 2

Grinnell College

Dec 4, 2024

Review

Suppose we had daily average temperatures for Grinnell for the period of one calendar year

- What types of categorical variables would make good candidates?
- What types of categorical variables would make bad candidates?
- What kind of attributes make a variable a good or bad candidate? (general conversation question)


Mean Temperature (F)
75
50
25
0
Jan 2022
Apr 2022
Jul 2022
Oct 2022
Date


Mean Temperature (F)
75
50
25
0
Jan 2022
Apr 2022
Jul 2022
Oct 2022
Date


Weekday
Fri
Mon
Sat
Sun
Thur
Tue
Wed


Mean Temperature (F)
75
50
25
0
Jan 2022
Apr 2022
Jul 2022
Oct 2022
Date


Month
Jan
Feb
Mar
Apr
May
Jun
Jul
Aug
Sep
Oct
Nov
Dec

Ants and sandwiches


0
25
50
75
100
Ants



	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Residuals	49	14041.68	286.56		


Butter
yes
no
0
25
50
75
100
Ants



	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Butter	1	757.90	757.90	2.74	0.1045
Residuals	48	13283.78	276.75		


Wholemeal
White
Rye
Multigrain
Bread
0
25
50
75
100
Ants



	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Bread	3	1519.82	506.61	1.86	0.1494
Residuals	46	12521.86	272.21		


Filling
Vegemite
Peanut Butter
Ham & Pickles
0
25
50
75
100
Ants



	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Filling	2	5455.83	2727.92	14.93	0.0000095
Residuals	47	8585.85	182.68		



	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq
Individual	49	14041.68	286.56



	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Residuals	49	14041.68	286.56		

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Butter	1	757.90	757.90	2.74	0.1045
Residuals	48	13283.78	276.75		

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Bread	3	1519.82	506.61	1.86	0.1494
Residuals	46	12521.86	272.21		

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Filling	2	5455.83	2727.92	14.93	0.0000095
Residuals	47	8585.85	182.68		

To t or Not to t

Recall that for ANOVA we are testing the null hypothesis that *all* of our means our equal

$$H_0 : \mu_A = \mu_B = \mu_C$$

Why not instead just stick with our t-test, doing

$$H_0 : \mu_A = \mu_B,\ \mu_A = \mu_C,\ \text{and } \mu_B = \mu_C$$

Multiple tests

15 groups, all generated with the same mean value:



- 106 pair-wise tests
- 6 with p-value < 0.05



Multiple tests



	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Group	14	15.40	1.10	1.10	0.3504
Residuals	14985	14964.85	1.00		

Post-hoc Tests

ANOVA only tells us *that* a difference exists, not where it is or to what degree

If our ANOVA test is such that we rejet the null hypothesis, we can use *post-hoc* testing via the **Tukey Range Test** or the **Tukey Honest Significant Difference Test** to identify any statistically significant pair-wise differences



	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Filling	2	5455.83	2727.92	14.93	0.0000095
Residuals	47	8585.85	182.68		

```
> aov(Ants ~ Filling, sandwich) %>% TukeyHSD()
  Tukey multiple comparisons of means
    95% family-wise confidence level

Fit: aov(formula = Ants ~ Filling, data = sandwich)

$Filling
                                  diff     lwr       upr   p adj
Peanut Butter-Ham & Pickles -19.1405 -30.203  -8.0780 0.00036
Vegemite-Ham & Pickles      -23.9444 -35.380 -12.5090 0.00002
Vegemite-Peanut Butter       -4.8039 -16.391   6.7834 0.57845
```

Review

- ANOVA allows us to test equality of many means
  - By comparing ratio of between-group and within-group means
- Ameliorates problem of multiple testing
- *Post-hoc* testing can be done to determine which groups are different
- Tukey Honest Statistical Difference (TukeyHSD)