## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Grinnell College

Dec 2, 2024

### Review

Identify the statistic and null hypothesis associated with the following:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Single quantitative variable
- $\blacktriangleright$  Two quantitative variables (two types)
- $\triangleright$  Single categorical variable
- $\blacktriangleright$  Two categorical variables

Also be prepared to explain:

- $\triangleright$  What is the relationship between the null hypothesis and a sampling distribution?
- ▶ What is the relationship between a sampling distribution and an observed statistic?

Tests so far:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Difference in means
- ▶ Difference in proportion
- $\blacktriangleright$  Goodness of fit
- ▶ Independence of two categorical variables

Today we are extending our set of tests to include testing the difference in means in multiple groups

#### The Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is a collection of statistical models used to analyze difference among many means

The null hypothesis is testing the difference of means between  $k$  groups

$$
H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k
$$
  

$$
H_A: \text{at least one } \mu_i \neq \mu_j
$$

But what does this have to do with variance?

# Dog Speed

Collected 400 dogs from 8 different breeds, each a sample of 50. Each set has 25 black dogs and 25 dogs of one other color. For each dog, I also recorded land speeds in miles per hour (mph)



What variables will do best in helping me predict speed?

# Dog Size



# Dog Color



## Dog Breed



The total variability of a sample can be broken into two parts:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Variability within groups
- ▶ Variability between groups

How did variability *between groups* and *within groups* compare when we looked at dogs grouped by size versus by color or by breed?

Recall our null hypothesis for ANOVA

 $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k$  $H_A$ : at least one  $\mu_i \neq \mu_i$ 

- ▶ Low within group variabilty ⇔ tight knit clearly defined group around a mean
- $▶$  High between group variability  $\Leftrightarrow$  the groups are clearly distinct from one another

## Variability and You



### Variability and You

A common metric for variability is the sum of squares giving total squared distance between observations and mean

$$
\mathsf{TSS} = \sum_{i}^{n} (x_{ij} - \overline{x})^2
$$

where  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  indicates the observation and  $j = 1, \ldots, k$  indicates the group. We can always decompose this into a sum of two sums of squares



### Within-group Variability

Within-group variability is associated with a standard sum of squared errors (SSE)

$$
\textsf{SSE} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ij} - \overline{x}_j)^2
$$

This can also be written as the *weighted* sum of group standard deviations

$$
\mathsf{SSE} = \sum_{j=1}^k (n_j-1) s_j^2 = (n_1-1) s_1^2 + (n_2-1) s_2^2 + \cdots + (n_k-1) s_k^2
$$

As this sum involves estimating  $k$  different group means,  $\overline{\mathsf{x}}_j$ , we have  $n - k$  degrees of freedom, giving the mean of this metric to be

$$
MSE = \frac{SSE}{n - k}
$$

This describes how different each of the groups are from one another

Also known as the sum of squares between groups (SSG), we can compute it by finding the weighted mean of group deviations:

$$
SSG = \sum n_i (\overline{x}_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
=  $n_1(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x})^2 + n_2(\overline{x}_2 - \overline{x})^2 + \cdots + n_k(\overline{x}_k - \overline{x})^2$ 

As there are  $k$  groups, we can find the mean by dividing by  $k$ , less 1 degree of freedom from finding  $\bar{x}$ ,

$$
MSG = \frac{SSG}{k-1}
$$

Finding the means of SSG and SSE help keep the metrics interpretable when the number of groups or samples increases

Ultimately, then, what determines value the outcome of our test is the ratio between group variations and variation from error

$$
F = \frac{MSG}{MSE}
$$

What makes the  $F$  statistic larger:

- $\blacktriangleright$  MSG increases
- $\blacktriangleright$  *MSE* decreases

### F distribution

Just as we are able to use to t-distribution in finding  $p$ -values for the difference of two means, we can use the  $F$  distribution to find a  $p$ -value for assessing the null hypothesis for ANOVA

Generally speaking, we are in good shape if:

- $\blacktriangleright$  The distributions of the groups are roughly normal
- ▶ The variances between the groups are roughly similar. Generally so long as the standard deviation of one group doesn't exceed twice that of another

Again similar to the *t*-distribution, the  $F$  distribution is associated with degrees of freedom, in this case two, one for each of the mean squares in the ratio.

### F distribution



#### Formulas

$$
\underbrace{\sum_{i}^{n}(x_{ij}-\overline{x})^{2}}_{SST}=\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{ij}-\overline{x}_{j})^{2}}_{SSE}+\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{k}n_{j}(\overline{x}_{j}-\overline{x})^{2}}_{SSG}
$$

 $\triangleright$  SST = SSE + SSG

 $\triangleright$  SSE = sum of squares within groups

- $\triangleright$  SSG = sum of squares *between groups*
- $MSG = \frac{SSG}{k-1}$

$$
MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-k}
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \ F = \tfrac{MSG}{MSE}
$$



Example 1



### Example 1



#### F(2, 147) Distribution





### Example 2



#### F(2, 147) Distribution



### Annual Temperature – Grinnell



### Annual Temperature



### Temperature by Day



#### Temperature by Day





### Temperature by Month



#### Temperature by Month





- <span id="page-30-0"></span>▶ Total variability made up of within and between group variation
- $\triangleright$  ANOVA gives us a method for determining the relative sizes of these types of variation
- $\blacktriangleright$  F statistic can be used as a measure of certainty